

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/379,092	08/23/99	MOULI		C	MICT-0042-US	
_				EXAMINER		
TOOD DOLINED	· LIII 9. MITTEC	MMC2/0328		POMPEV		
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES 8554 KATY FREEWAY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100						
HOUSTON TX			2812			
			•	DATE MAILED:	03/28/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•		09/379,092	MOULI, CHANDRA V.						
	Office Action Summary								
		Examiner	Art Unit						
	·	Ron E Pompey	2812						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears The Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress					
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.	<u> January 2001</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-17,19-26,28-32,34 and 40-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,18,27,33 and 35-39</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claims are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.									
12)	<u> </u>								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
* \$	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ŭ					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).									
Attachmen	t(s)								
16) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper Il Patent Application (–					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 18, 27, 33, 35 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hong (US 6,030,882).

Hong discloses the steps of:

forming a region, (208, fig. 2B) containing oxidation enhancing impurities, using ion implantation at energies below 20 keV, in a semiconductor structure, and

making a trench (208a and 210, fig. 2C)through said region, leaving a portion of said region around said trench (col. 3, line 55 – col. 4, line 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5-6 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Hong (US 6,030,882).

Hong fails to disclose some or all the limitations of 5-6 and 36-38. However, the

examiner takes Official Notice that argon or oxygen is art equivalent elements

that can be used to form oxygen enhanced region. Therefore the limitations of

claims 5-6 and 36-38 hold no patentable weight.

Election/Restrictions

5. Applicant's election without traverse of 1-7, 18, 27, 33 and 35-39 in Paper

No. 6 and telephone interview, 3-22-01, is acknowledged.

6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is

(703) 305-3016. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour days.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0956.

Ron Pompey

Art Unit: 2812

March 22, 2001

John F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800